

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pa

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,593	11/28/2003	Pascal Gabet	4590-242	5672
33308 7	7590 12/29/2005	EXAMINER		
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGNOSTIC ROAD, SUITE 300			LE, DINH THANH	
	A, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)			
	10/722,593	GABET ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DINH T. LE	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	lovember 2005.				
•	s action is non-final.				
	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	,				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2 and 4-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.			
	,				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

NON-FINAL REJECTION

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-2 and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, it is unclear what the "Na" and "cycle of evolution" on line 4 are since they

are not clearly defined and if the recitation "Na" on line 9 and "Nb" on line 10 is additional of

the previously claimed "Na" on line 4 and "Nb" on line 5. The same is true for reciting "F3" on

line 1 and "F4" on line 2 of claim 7. The recitation "the length", "the value" and "the cycle" on

line 9 lack clear antecedent basis. The same is true for reciting "the maximum frequency" on

line 3 of claim 4. Also, the description of the present invention is incomplete because the divider,

the synthesizer and the control device are not connected to anything. Thus, the claimed device

may not perform the recited function. The same is true for claim 10.

In claim 9, the description of the present invention is incomplete because the "mixer" and

the fractional step synthesizer" are not connected to the synthesizer, the divider and the control

device in claim 1. Thus, the mixer and the fractional step frequency synthesizer may not perform

the recited function. Also, it is unclear how the recitation "fractional step synthesizer", the

"mixer" and the "mixing signal" is read on the preferred embodiment or seen on the drawings.

In claim 10, it is unclear what is meant by "using a voltage controlled oscillator, a

frequency source which comprise the steps" on lines 2-3. The recitation "the output signal" on

Art Unit: 2816

line 4, "the input signal" on line 5, "the length" and "the value of Nb" on line 8 lacks clear antecedent basis. The same is true for reciting "the frequency F3" and "the frequency "F4". Also, it is unclear what the "Na" on line 7 is, how the cycle of evolution of Na can be dependent on the Nb, and how the recitation "dividing the input signal of the voltage control oscillator" is read on the preferred embodiment. Insofar as understood, no such step is seen on the drawings.

In claim 11, it is unclear where the frequencies "F3, F4" come from. The same is true for claim 14.

In claim 18, it is unclear what the frequency "Fref" and "the desired fractional step values" are, where they come from and how the Fref can be "chosen". The same is true for claims 19-20.

It is not understood what the "LCM" is.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above rejected claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Pertersson et al (US 5,140,284).

As the best construed, Petersson et al disclose in Figure 1 a circuit comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/722,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

- a synthesizer (21-25) for synthesizing a frequency (25-1 12.5M1c) with a variable steps (j7.5M1Z-25M1'17,=12.5M1V to 112.5M1-12-75M1R=37.5M114, see Table 1 at column 5; - one variable rank divider (26) located after the synthesizer (221-25); and

- a frequency controller (31) for deliver division rank command to the diver (26), the frequency command to the divider (2 1) for controlling the variable frequency steps and the synthesis command to the divider (25) for controlling the synthesis steps.
- With regard to claim 11, the variable-rank divider Nb vary according to an arithmetic sequence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petersson et al (US 5,140,284) in view and Figure 2 of the applicant's admitted prior art and further in view of Dekker (US 6,239,660).

Petersson et al discloses a synthesizer circuit

As the best construed, Petersson et al disclose in Figure 1 a circuit comprising:

- a synthesizer (21-25) for synthesizing a frequency (25-112.5MHZ) with a variable steps (37.5MHZ-25MHZ=12.5MHZ to 112.5MHZ-75MHZ=37.5MHZ), see Table 1 at column 5;
 - one variable rank divider (26) located after the synthesizer (221-25); and
 - a frequency controller (31) for deliver division rank command to the diver (26), the

Application/Control Number: 10/722,593

Art Unit: 2816

frequency command to the divider (21) for controlling the variable frequency steps and the synthesis command to the divider (25) for controlling the synthesis steps.

However, Petersson et al does not disclose that the synthesizer is a fractional step phaselocked loop synthesizer and a filter is placed after the divider.

The applicant's admitted prior art teaches in Figure 2 a synthesizer comprising a fractional step modulo for providing fractional frequency steps.

Dekker teaches in Figure 2 a synthesizer circuit comprising a filter (212) placed after the synthesizer (200) for removing unwanted high frequency noise.

It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the fractional step modulo taught by the admitted prior art in the circuit of Petersson et al for the purpose of providing fractional frequency steps and the filter taught by Dekker in the circuit of Petersson for the purpose of removing unwanted high frequency noise.

With regard to claim 4, the variable-rank divider Nb takes the values N1=8 to Np= 24, these values following an arithmetic progression, and wherein the maximum frequency of the synthesizer is given by F4=8x4.68M11Z=37.5M14Z.

With regard to claims 6 and 8,the variable-rank divider (26) takes the values N1=8 to Np= 24.

With regard to claim 7 and 14, 173:=12.5M1-12 is substantially equal to or smaller than a174=:8/12x37.5M+1Z=25M11Z where a=8/12 is the smallest value obtained in dividing two consecutive elements one after the other.

With regard to claim 9, as understood, the mixer is read on the phase detector (22) of Petersson et al where it receives the output signal (fvco) and a mixing signal (fre).

Art Unit: 2816

With regard to claims 5 and 12, although the step frequency F3=12.5MHZ is not equal or slightly lower that (N1/N2)*F4=8/24x37.5MHZ=25MHZ as claimed; however, the step frequency of Ptersson et al can be selected by selecting the divisors P and R as shown on Table 1 at column 5. Thus, selecting a predetermined frequency step for the circuit of Petersson in order to accommodate with the requirement of a predetermined system in which the circuit of Petersson is to be used would have been obvious at the time of the invention. The same is true for claim 17 in which the reference frequency and the step frequency can be selected to equal to the LCM of the sequence N1.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

The applicant argues that Petersson et al fails to provide the variation of Na relative to the value of Nb and the fact that the value of Na varies for a given frequency value. The argument is not persuasive because it is based on the unclear imitation "Na" as stated above and, as shown on Table I at page 5 of Petersson et al, the divisor N relatively changes from 4000 to 1000 responsive to the change of the divisor P between 8 and 24 for the desired output frequencies of in the range of between 25MHZ and 112.5MHZ.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/722,593 Page 7

Art Unit: 2816

supervisor, TIMOTHY CALLAHAN can be reached at (571) 272-1740.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

22 December 2005